Chassaignac bursa flap: protection and support in reduction mammoplasties with implant

Retalho bolsa de Chassaignac: proteção e sustentação nas mamoplastias redutoras com implante

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Macromastias with fatty substitution, great flaccidity, and severe ptosis, constitute a specific group of difficult treatment, many without long-term results. The authors present the technique called Chassaignac bursa flap, indicated for selected cases. Methods: When applied to 41 patients (2013-2019), the tactic allowed the creation of two independent spaces, one for the removal of the mammary parenchyma and the other isolated, pre-muscular, a flap composed by pedicles semicircular, superior, medial and lateral, dome-shaped, which protect the implant in the Chassaignac retromammary area. Results: The patients evolved without complications, with the maintenance of the upper pole, a symmetrical harmonic redistribution of the breasts, without recurrent ptosis. Conclusion: The bag flap proved feasible, allowing for safety during the operation and a lasting implant support.
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RESUMO

Introdução: As macromastias com substituição gordurosa, grande flacidez e ptose severa, constituem um grupo específico de difícil tratamento, muitos deles sem resultados perduráveis a longo prazo. Os autores apresentam a técnica denominada retalho bolsa de Chassaignac, indicada para casos selecionados. Métodos: Aplicada em 41 pacientes (2013-2019), a tática permite a criação de 2 espaços independentes, um para a retirada do parênquima mamário e outro isolado, pré-muscular, que consiste em um retalho composto, de pedículo semicircular, superior, medial e lateral, em forma de cúpula, que protege o implante na área retromammária de Chassaignac. Resultados: As pacientes evoluíram sem complicações, com manutenção do polo superior, uma redistribuição harmônica simétrica das mamas, sem ptoses recidivantes. Conclusão: O retalho em bolsa se mostrou factível, permitindo segurança no transoperatório e sustentação perdurável do implante.

Descritores: Mamoplastia; Redução aberta; Implante mamário; Hipertrofia; Retalhos cirúrgicos.
INTRODUCTION

After the publication of Sánchez et al., in 2008, about reduction mammoplasty with a silicone implant, a large contingent of patients began to request it. Some specialists incorporated it into their surgical arsenal, for cases specially selected within the universe of hypertrophies breast cancer. On the other hand, most publications that combine mammoplasties and implants have focused on treating hypomastias and breast ptosis. This one is a topic in which many authors have described techniques, with different tactics, for locating and protecting the implant, as Soares et al., in 2011, in a double plane; Sánchez et al., 2008, Mansur and Bozola, in 2009, with an inferior pedicle; Gomes, in 2008, with an upper pedicle; Graf et al., in 2003, with a subfascial implant, among others; however, there are few publications regarding combined treatment in macromastias.

The challenges and difficulties of this surgery are similar to the ptosis treatment and begin in the intraoperative period. In most suprapeptorial techniques, a single surgical space is shared by implantation, parenchyma removal and ascending flaps of the areolomamillary complex (AMC), which increases the frequency of immediate complications, such as hematoma, infection, dehiscence or extrusion and late complications, such as asymmetries and ptosis due to sliding of the implant (bottoming out) or due to tissue fall (waterfall). The retromuscular techniques provide support for the prosthesis; however, in the late evolution, they can also present the animation deformity and the double bubble, expected consequences for structures of different embryological origins.

Following the anatomical description of the retromammary space, the posterior breast capsule, and the Giraldes suspensory ligament, we idealized the possibility of surgical construction of a flap composed as a lipo-connective-glandular dome, with a semicircular pedicle (upper, medial and lateral), to protect the loose retromammary areolar space, isolating the implant site from the rest of the surgical procedures. The tactic aims to protect the prosthesis during surgery, to reduce risks in the postoperative period and to provide long-lasting support to the implant and the neo-breast itself, due to the scar adherence in the supradome cleavage plane, avoiding recurrent ptosis, erasure of the upper pole and asymmetries; anatomical aspects illustrated are shown in Figure 1, and we called it the Chassaignac or Kangaroo (CBF) bag flap.

OBJECTIVE

The authors describe the surgical preparation of a bag flap, with the breast base tissues, in a pre-
1. At the implantation site, cutaneous demarcation of the detachment area, with the help of a circular plate 12 cm in diameter, placed 2 cm from the sternal midline and 1 cm above the breast crease;
2. In the area of breast resection, using the Pitanguy technique;
3. In the areas of liposuction, lateral, and pre-axillary, if necessary (S/N).

Surgical technique

It is infiltrated subcutaneously under demarcation with Villafuerte-Vélez et al. (2017) 9: 250cc saline, one adrenaline ampoule, one dexamethasone acetate ampoule (8mgs). Incision of the epidermal design and lateral and axillary liposuction (S/N). Decortication of the periareolar triangle and the lower medial triangle; in this and slightly above the inframammary fold, a 5cm incision was made for retromammary access, detaching the Chassaignac space, in the previously demarcated extension. A wet compress is introduced into the pocket, continuing with breast resection, initiated by the suprafascial side. In the medial area, a protective dome of the implant pocket is advanced, constituted by the posterior mammary capsule, free in its upper and internal area. The retroareolar and upper tissue are dried out in block and keel. It is also possible to remove all breast tissue, from upper to lower, preserving and sculpting the protective bag extended by the compress. Then, the superomedial pedicle flap, for areolar transposition, the retromammary compress is removed, and the silicone implant is inserted (Figure 3), closing the entrance via with 3-0 nylon. Finally, the breast is assembled with pillar sutures (S/N), subcutaneous tissue and AMC repositioning; 2-0, 3-0, 4-0, 5-0 mononylon yarns, and 4-0 poliglycolic acid are used. The surgical sequence is shown in Figure 4. The drain is placed through the side incision (nasogastric tube 16), the dressing with neomycin ointment, compresses, and surgical bra. The drain is removed and discharged after 24 hours, weekly review for one month, followed by monthly.

RESULTS

Of the 41 patients (2013-2019), we obtained: 1 case of small medial skin dehiscence (2.43%); 2 cases of mild asymmetry of the AMC (4.87%); no case of hematoma, necrosis, infection, extrusion or contracture; 1 case of mild unilateral ptosis (2.43%); and there was no complaint of paresthesia. The aesthetic result obtained an excellent degree of satisfaction in 97.56% of the cases, and the average breast removal was 1.640g (Figures 5, 6, and 7).

DISCUSSION

The paradox of removing large breast volumes and, simultaneously, placing implants, has its specific application in challenging pathologies, since, for them, the medium- and long-term results, with other techniques, could be susceptible to complications and dissatisfaction1. After continuous clinical observation of the breast position in the profile view, we conclude that the mammary base and its inframammary fold are always in constant position; the groove is fixed, at the level of the 7th rib and acts as a pivot, it does...
not descend, even in large hypertrophies or ptosis, allowing the breast base to tilt, but without overpassing the breast adhesion (Figure 8), an anatomical fact that is also noticeable in breasts with lower thoracic implantation, which we believe to be of great value in the proposed surgical resource.

The publication by Sampaio et al., in 2013\textsuperscript{10}, rescues us the importance of retromammary anatomy, proposing basilar removal (Giraldes breast capsule) and Chassaignac bursa, for greater adherence in breast reconstructions with a submuscular prosthesis. However, the authors of this article propose a new approach, taking advantage of these anatomical structures to make a pre-muscular pouch that protects the implant and functions as a barrier and support capsule, preventing inferior or lateral slips. This principle is similar to that published by Faria et al., in 2017\textsuperscript{11}, in the Lockpocket technique for mammoplasty/mastopexy with subfascial implants, an excellent proposal in ptosis with smaller hypertrophies, but limited in large hypertrophies, where the pectoral fascia is atrophic, a clinical observation made after more than a decade of the gigantomastia treatment program\textsuperscript{12}.

Besides, the velcro healing effect of the remaining breast tissues is added, in the dissection plane above the kangaroo bag, allowing its firm and adherent redistribution, also avoiding recurrent breast ptosis.

The use of silicone presented here is not for increasing but recommended to maintain the upper pole when smaller volumes of prostheses are indicated, fulfilling the main objective of the breast reduction.
CONCLUSION

The basis of the Chassaignac bursa flap (CBF) has its anatomical key in the construction of a pedicled dome structure, as a protective barrier, which offers security during the operation and support of the implant and the neo-breast in the long term, being practiced in cases selected, which combine the reduction mammoplasty and the implant.
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