Postoperative control of liposuction pain

Controle da dor no pós-operatório de lipoaspiração
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Pain control is essential in any surgical event. Liposuction is, in general, accompanied by complaints of post-operative pain, which raises the discussion about the best way to prevent and treat it. Accordingly, studies indicate that the analgesia should begin before any painful stimulus is triggered in order to reduce or prevent the pain preemptively. The approach of the various pain pathways, with a combination of different classes of drugs or utilization of spinal block or epidural/general anesthesia can also contribute to pain management.

Methods: A descriptive, prospective, interventional cohort type study was conducted with patients undergoing plastic surgery involving liposuction. The standard anesthetic procedure consisted of an association between general and spinal anesthesia. The assessment of pain, carried out 6 and 18 hours after the end of the surgery, used unidimensional scales. The absence of pain or the presence of mild pain was considered a satisfactory result.

Results: Fifty female patients were evaluated, with an average of 35 years of age. No intense pain was found at any time during the study. Satisfactory results accounted for 94% and 92% of the patients in the assessment at 6 and 18 hours post-surgery, respectively (p < 0.001). Conclusions: Intravenous anesthesia combined with spinal anesthesia, in body contouring surgery, was able to satisfactorily control pain in the immediate postoperative period in most cases (>90%). In this study, liposuction was revealed to be a type of surgery with manageable pain.
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INTRODUCTION

Postoperative pain control is an essential part of any surgical procedure. Liposuction alone or in association with other surgeries, such as abdominoplasty or breast prostheses, is usually associated with common complaints of pain in clinical practice. In the case of body contouring surgery, patients frequently fear the procedure because of previous reports of high levels of pain.

Liposuction, the most common aesthetic intervention in Brazil, has also been recognized as the procedure most associated with persistence of intense postoperative pain. Inadequate pain management can lead to cardiovascular, respiratory, gastrointestinal, urinary, neuroendocrine, metabolic, and psychological changes. Effective pain control, on the other hand, is able to significantly reduce patient morbidity and mortality, as it provides physical and psychological comfort, inhibits nociceptive reflexes, and allows adequate breathing and early mobilization.

Several studies, such as that of Garcia et al., indicate that the correct analgesia should begin even before any painful stimulus is triggered. Thus, there would be a reduction or prevention of pain following a preemptive approach. Another way to manage postoperative pain is an approach through the various pain pathways, either through the combination of different techniques, or the association of spinal or epidural blocks with general anesthesia.

This association of anesthetic techniques may benefit the patient. The combination of general anesthesia and spinal anesthesia in liposuction is herein proposed. Such a combination can reduce the intensity of postoperative pain, providing safety by keeping the airway protected upon intubation and also comfort by allowing controlled hypnosis. It is thus mandatory to evaluate the strategies for the prevention and treatment of post-operative pain after liposuction.

OBJECTIVE

As there is a scarcity of studies that evaluate postoperative pain in plastic surgery and liposuction, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the postoperative pain control in liposuction specifically with patients operated under general anesthesia combined with spinal anesthesia.
METHODS

A descriptive, prospective, interventional cohort type study, developed at the Hospital São Lucas in Aracaju, SE, was conducted in order to assess the level of pain in the immediate postoperative period in 50 patients subjected to body contouring plastic surgery between June 30, 2011 and November 30, 2013. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee, according to Brazilian National Health Council (CNS) Resolution 196/96. The participants, after being informed and instructed on the actions to be performed, signed an Informed Consent Form (ICF) after oral and written clarifications.

Inclusion Criteria

- Female;
- Age range between 18 and 60 years;
- Indication for body contouring plastic surgery involving liposuction.

Criteria for non-inclusion

- Chronic Diseases - hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or other associated conditions;
- Smokers or ex-smokers for less than one year;
- Patients with cognitive dysfunction or psychiatric illness;
- Patients who did not sign the informed consent form.

Exclusion Criteria

- Patients who chose to leave the study.

All patients were subjected to the super wet liposuction technique - a technique that was recognized and endorsed in the scientific field, besides being routinely performed in body contour plastic surgeries.

All patients followed the safety protocol:
- Surgeries were performed in a tertiary hospital with an intensive care unit (ICU);
- Prevention of hypothermia was done with the use of thermal blanket, infiltration of warm solutions and monitoring by means of nasopharyngeal thermometer (temperature > 35.6º C);
- Prevention of thromboembolism was done by pneumatic compression and compression stockings, in addition to the use of enoxaparin (40 mg, subcutaneously once a day) until 10 days after the surgery, respiratory and motor physiotherapy;
- Clarification of the procedures, their risks and complications, was done in consultation as well as in printed informational text;
- ICF was completed;
- The rates of abandonment, withdrawal, or exclusion of patients from this study were compiled in another protocol for further evaluation.

The data were obtained through the completion of the clinical visit protocol, from patients’ anamnesis, and physical examinations performed 6 and 18 hours post-surgery.

Protocol for the assessment of pain

The visual analogue scale (VAS) and the numerical scale (Figures 1 and 2) were used for the quantitative evaluation of pain. Patients were questioned six and 18 hours post-surgery:
- 0 - No pain
- 1 to 3 - Mild pain
- 4 to 7 - Moderate pain
- 8 to 10 - Intense pain

The results were interpreted as either:
- Satisfactory: absence of pain or mild pain (score 0-3)
- Unsatisfactory: moderate to intense pain (score 4-10)

Figure 1. Sir Harold Gillies (right, sitting in the surgical room, Queen’s Hospital, Sidcup (courtesy of Dr. Andrew Bamji, Gillies Archivist, BAPRAS).

Figure 2. Plastic surgery Surgical Room, Queen’s Hospital, Sidcup (courtesy of Dr. Andrew Bamji, Gillies Archivist, BAPRAS).
A descriptive analysis was performed using the absolute and relative frequencies for categorical variables, and central tendency measures and variability for numerical variables. Inferential analysis was performed using the 95% confidence intervals. As the variables studied were categorical, a statistical analysis of these results was carried out by the chi-square association test, with a significance level of 5%. The statistical programs SPSS version 22.0.0 (Windows) and R version 3.0.2 (Windows) were used for the analysis of the data.

RESULTS

Fifty patients who would undergo liposuction were prospectively evaluated. All of them were female. The patients’ age ranged from 24 to 54 years, with a mean age of 35 years (95% CI: 33-38 years).

With regard to the level of postoperative pain, no intense pain was found throughout the study at any moment of pain assessment. Satisfactory results (score of 0-3) represented 94% (47/50) of the patients in the evaluation performed six hours post-surgery (p < 0.001). In the 18-hour evaluation, a satisfactory result was observed in 92% (46/50) of the cases (p < 0.001) (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Pain is a frequently observed phenomenon after surgery, and liposuction is cited as one of the aesthetic interventions most associated with the persistence of postoperative pain. The effects of acute pain on the body cause diverse changes, which can and should be avoided by appropriate analgesia so as not to cause unnecessary suffering and risks to the patient\(^7\). However, there is still a scarcity of scientific studies on pain in plastic surgery.

The anesthetic plan in liposuction has an important role. The choice of anesthetic should ensure patient safety and comfort in the management of pain. The literature reports that liposuction can be performed under four anesthetic techniques: local anesthesia with or without sedation, regional anesthesia (epidural anesthesia or spinal anesthesia) with or without sedation, and general anesthesia. Each technique has advantages and limitations. The choice of the best technique should take into account the characteristics of the patient, the length and duration of the surgery, and the preference and experience of both the surgeon and anesthesiologist\(^8\).

Although epidural anesthesia is widely used in liposuction and has higher analgesic quality compared to local anesthesia, extensive epidural block is often associated with hypotension and should be limited to patients with good cardiac reserve\(^9\). On the other hand, the use of opioids in the subarachnoid space, in spinal anesthesia, provides the benefit of good analgesia in the first 24 hours postoperatively, in addition to anesthesia and quality muscle relaxation\(^8\) with safety.

General anesthesia is recommended for high-volume liposuction because it ensures rapid patient recovery using propofol\(^10\) and maintains a protected airway through orotracheal intubation. However, analgesia only lasts a short duration due to the characteristics of the opioids used, such as fentanyl or remifentanil\(^8\). It is nevertheless an option when there is a need for a change in position, which is common in liposuction. This, however, predisposes to greater respiratory and hemodynamic changes due to high blockade and prolonged sedation.

With the understanding of the pathophysiology of acute pain, the difficulty of using a single drug or intervention broad enough in its action in order to be properly effective was recognized. This limitation becomes more evident with moderate or intense pain\(^11\) and persistence of unwanted pain levels in the postoperative period.

Thus, in controlling pain, it is important to use multiple anesthetic drugs or procedures; this is the multimodal approach. The association of analgesic drugs with different mechanisms of action allows one to use lower doses to obtain good pain control with minimal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Satisfactory n (%)</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory n (%)</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6h</td>
<td>47 (94)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>50 (100)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18h</td>
<td>46 (92)</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>50 (100)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain Level</th>
<th>6h n (%)</th>
<th>18h n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absence of pain</td>
<td>33 (66)</td>
<td>32 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild pain</td>
<td>14 (28)</td>
<td>14 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate pain</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intense pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>50 (100)</td>
<td>50 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The highest intensity indicated in the scales was 5 points. However, a mean score of 0.78 (95% CI: 0.43 to 1.13) at six hours post-surgery and 0.92 (95% CI: 0.52 to 1.32) at 18 hours post-surgery were recorded (p = 0.424).
adverse effects. The synergistic effect of these drugs produces more efficient analgesia by addressing the pain through all its mechanisms, aside from reducing the adverse effects due to the possibility of reducing the dose or changing the analgesic11.

With this perspective, the technique used in this study, which was general anesthesia combined with subarachnoid blockade allowed the use of lower doses of local anesthetics with a reduction of side effects12. Safety was also noted by keeping the airway protected and patient comfort was provided by allowing a controlled hypnosis plan, which avoided unnecessary arousal during the surgery. In addition, it significantly reduced the intensity of postoperative pain5, as demonstrated in the present study, with satisfactory results (> 90%) in relation to pain as well as the absence of major ventilatory or hemodynamic changes in 50 patients.

The wide variation in pain sensitivity among individuals or even with the same patient varies at different time points13. This phenomenon makes it difficult to conduct pain assessment studies. To address this, pain ratings were recorded systematically, by protocol and using specific scales for the characterization and measurement of pain. The results would subsequently contribute to the improvement in the management of painful symptoms6,14. This study used the numeric visual scale and the visual analogue scale, since these unidimensional scales are the most common in surgical procedures15.

The age of the patients ranged between 24 and 54 years of age, with an average of 35 years (95% CI: 33 to 38 years), in line with epidemiological data concerning patients who seek the aesthetic surgery service2,16.

The selection of the sample for this study comprised only female patients. This is due to the influence of gender on the prevalence of pain17,18 and also due to the fact that liposuction is mostly performed in women2,19. In addition, it has been demonstrated that women complain more about pain and have a longer recovery than men after liposuction, with similar final results10.

Two pain assessments were performed at six and 18 hours after liposuction for each patient included in the study, resulting to 100 pain assessments. 14% (14/100) presented mild pain and only 7% (7/100) moderate pain. There were no reports of strong or intense pain, scores of 6 and 8, respectively, on the pain assessment scales, in contrast to published data1.

It is worth mentioning that, in the study of Santos et al., the majority of patients underwent liposuction with or without breast implant placement under epidural anesthesia associated with sedation. From this perspective, general anesthesia associated with subarachnoid block was shown to be an excellent anesthetic choice for pain management in liposuction compared with epidural block.

The advantage of subarachnoid anesthesia is its ability to provide good analgesia in the first 24 hours post-surgery4. This can be seen upon assessment of pain levels in patients at six and 18 hours after the surgical procedure, since the results were satisfactory (score 0-3) in 94% (47/50) and 92% (46/50) of the cases, respectively, indicating the analgesia was appropriate in the majority of the patients examined in the immediate postoperative period of liposuction.

Such data supersedes the information found in the work of Silva and Moraes2, in which more than 18% of the cases presented unsatisfactory results (score 4-10). However, their sample was composed of different types of aesthetic plastic surgery including not only liposuction, but also abdominoplasty, rhinoplasty, and breast prosthesis. Furthermore, there is no description of the anesthetic strategy drawn during the perioperative period.

The present study demonstrated that general anesthesia associated with spinal anesthesia was effective and safe in handling pain during the immediate postoperative period of liposuction.

CONCLUSION

Pain can be satisfactorily and safely controlled during the immediate postoperative period of liposuction through the institution of the proposed protocol of general anesthesia combined with spinal block. This protocol can also be used as an option for other types of plastic surgery.
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